



AELJ Blog

Journal Blogs

4-4-2014

Helen Nissenbaum - "Notice and Consent is a Sham"

Cardozo Arts & Entertainment Law Journal

Follow this and additional works at: https://larc.cardozo.yu.edu/aelj-blog

Part of the Law Commons

Recommended Citation

Cardozo Arts & Entertainment Law Journal, "Helen Nissenbaum – "Notice and Consent is a Sham"" (2014). *AELJ Blog*. 39. https://larc.cardozo.yu.edu/aelj-blog/39

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Journal Blogs at LARC @ Cardozo Law. It has been accepted for inclusion in AELJ Blog by an authorized administrator of LARC @ Cardozo Law. For more information, please contact larc@yu.edu.

Helen Nissenbaum – "Notice and Consent is a Sham"

Posted on April 4, 2014

Summary of Helen Nissenbaum's presentation in a panel on <u>"Disclosure and Notice</u> <u>Practices in Private Data Collection"</u> at <u>Data Privacy & Transparency in Private and</u> <u>Government Data</u>, April 4, 2014 at Benjamin N. Cardozo School of Law.



Helen Nissenbaum was part of the "Disclosure and Notice Practices in Private Data Collection" panel at Cardozo Law on April 4.

Notice and consent might be a solution to *some problems*, but Helen Nissenbaum doesn't think it is the solution to the *privacy problem*.

Consent is at the heart of so much privacy litigation. Nissenbaum, Director of the Information Law Institute and a Professor of Media, Culture and Communication, and Computer Science at New York University, explains that many of the issues that arise from consent stem from the fact that there is a huge blur between consent and coercion, as there is so much trouble when it comes to understanding and implementing. Websites that have privacy policies that state "be sure to return to this page to make sure you have the most current version of this policy," simply highlight how much these policies are being ignored. The fact is, many companies don't even need you to consent to much of the data that they collecting.

In a way, Nissenbaum points out, notice and consent is really a sham. She thinks we waste entirely too much time focusing on notice and not enough time thinking about the ways to

actually limit data collection. Once this data collection is limited to areas in which such data is really needed, then we can aim to retrieve real, meaningful consent.

For more from this panel, <u>click here</u>.